1	MARIA K. PUM (State Bar No. 120987) KRISTEN E. CAVERLY (State Bar No. 175070)	
2	HENDERSON & CAVERLY LLP P.O. Box 9144 (all U.S. Mail)	
3	16236 San Dieguito Road, Suite 4-13 Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067-9144	
4	Telephone: (858) 756-6342 Facsimile: (858) 756-4732	
5	Email: mpum@hcesq.com	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff McKESSON CORPORATION	
7	MCKESSON CORI ORATION	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	McKESSON CORPORATION, a Delaware	Case No.4:07-cv-05715 WDB
11	corporation,	Case No.4.07-cv-03/13 WDB
12	Plaintiff, v.	DECLARATION OF MARIA K. PUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF McKESSON
13	FAMILYMEDS GROUP, INC., f/k/a Drugmax, Inc., a Connecticut corporation,	CORPORATION SEEKING TO DISMISS: (1) COUNTERCLAIM FOR SPECIFIC
14		
15	Defendant.	PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACT AND ACCOUNTING; AND
16 17	FAMILYMEDS GROUP, INC., f/k/a Drugmax, Inc., a Connecticut corporation,	(2) CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR ACCOUNTING
18	Counterclaimant,	
19	v.	Complaint Filed: November 9, 2007 Cross-Complaint Filed: December 17, 2007
20	McKESSON CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	Date: March 12, 2008
21	Counterdefendant.	Time: 3:00 pm Place: Ctrm 4
22		1301 Clay St., 3d Floor Oakland, CA
23	FAMILYMEDS, INC., a Connecticut corporation,	
24	Cross-Complainant,	
25	V.	
26 27	McKESSON CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	
28	Cross-Defendant.	
- 1		

- I, MARIA K. PUM, declare that I have personal knowledge of the following facts and, if called upon to do so, I could and would testify competently thereto:
- 1. I am a partner at the law firm of Henderson & Caverly LLP, counsel for plaintiff McKESSON CORPORATION ("McKesson") in this action.
- 2. On November 9, 2007, I caused to be filed the complaint commencing this action which is entitled "Complaint for Breach of Contract." It contains a single cause of action and names a single defendant: FAMILYMEDS GROUP, INC., f/k/a Drugmax, Inc. ("Familymeds Group").
- 3. On or about December 17, 2007, I received as counsel for McKesson service of not only an answer to the Complaint, but also with a pleading entitled "Counterclaim for Specific Performance of Contract and Accounting; Cross-Complaint for Accounting" (the "Cross-Complaint"). I have read the Cross-Complaint and know of my own knowledge that:
- a. The Cross-Complaint names Familymeds, Inc. as the "Cross-Complainant" filing the Cross-Complaint against McKesson.
- b. According to paragraph 4 of the Cross-Complaint, Familymeds, Inc. "is the wholly owned subsidiary of Familymeds Group."
- c. Nowhere in the Cross-Complaint is any allegation made by Familymeds Group that Familymeds, Inc. (the party proposed to be added by Familymeds Group to this action) is a person who is or may be liable to Familymeds Group for all or a part of McKesson's claim against Familymeds Group.
- d. Although it is a bit unclear, my reading of the Cross-Complaint, specifically paragraph 11 of the Cross-Complaint, indicates that Familymeds Group and/or Familymeds, Inc. is asserting claims against McKesson in the Cross-Complaint based on a December 28, 2004 contract between Familymeds, Inc., Valley Drug Company and D&K, which is defined in the Cross-Complaint as the "First Agreement." The Cross-Complaint does not allege that McKesson is a party to the First Agreement.

- e. The Cross-Complaint does not name D&K as a party in the Cross-Complaint even though D&K is the counter-party to the so-called First Agreement and the Cross-Complaint seeks remedies based on the First Agreement.
- f. The Cross-Complaint defines the agreement that is the subject of the original Complaint as the "Second Agreement."
 - 4. D&K continues to exist as a separate corporate entity.
- 5. The so-called "First Agreement" is not a subject of the Complaint. The allegations of the Complaint are confined to breaches relating solely to a contract entitled "Supply Agreement" which was dated February 2, 2007 and executed by Familymeds Group and McKesson and no other parties. This contract is defined in the Cross-Complaint as the "Second Agreement."

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this day of January, 2008

MÁRIA K. PUM

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I am employed in the County of San Diego, California. I am over the age of 18 years and 3 not a party to the within action. My business address is Henderson & Caverly LLP, P.O. Box 4 9144, 16236 San Dieguito Road, Suite 4-13, Rancho Santa Fe, California 92067. 5 On January 14, 2008, I served the foregoing: 6 DECLARATION OF MARIA K. PUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF McKESSON **CORPORATION SEEKING TO DISMISS:** 7 (1) COUNTERCLAIM FOR SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACT AND **ACCOUNTING**; AND 8 (2) CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR ACCOUNTING 9 on the following parties in this action in the manner set forth below: 10 Robert C. Gebhardt, Esq. 11 Jeffer, Mangels, Butler & Marmaro LLP 12 Two Embarcadero Center, Fifth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3824 13 (BY MAIL) I placed each such sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid for first-14 class mail, for collection and mailing at Henderson & Caverly LLP, Rancho Santa Fe, California, following ordinary business practices. I am familiar with the practice of 15 Henderson & Caverly LLP for collection and processing of correspondence, said practice being that in the ordinary course of business, correspondence is deposited in the United 16 States Postal service the same day as it is placed for collection. 17 \square (BY EMAIL) I electronically filed such document using the ("CM/ECF") system which will 18 send a Notice Of Electronic Filing to CM/ECF participants. 19 (BY FACSIMILE) I transmitted the above-listed document to the party listed above via 20 facsimile. The transmission was reported complete and without error. The telephone number of the facsimile machine I used was (858) 756-4732. 21 22 I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose 23 direction the service was made. 24 Executed at Rancho Santa Fe, California on January, 14, 2008. 25 guyen 26 27 28